



## Children's profile for community committees Spring 2016

### Inner North West

Version number:	1.0
Date produced:	February 2016
Created by:	Peter Storrie / Chris Hudson
Contact details:	<a href="mailto:CHS.Performance.and.Intelligence@leeds.gov.uk">CHS.Performance.and.Intelligence@leeds.gov.uk</a>
Status:	Draft
Filepath:	U:\CHILDRENS PERFORMANCE SERVICES\Work\Cross Cutting\Community committees\2016_02 Community Committee Children's Profile Spring 2016.docx
Protective marking:	Not protectively marked

## Children's Profile

- It is proposed that from spring 2016 children's profiles will be produced for community committees. These will provide regular updates and trends on key measures respect to children and young people in February/March and October/November of each year. Profiles are intended as position statements, contextual information, to help shape local conversations about an area's priorities for children, rather than a formal report to each committee.
- This information supports transparency on children's measures at the community committee level. Importantly it can help inform local conversations on what is it like for a child growing up in that community committee area and how do we make it better. As such profiles are a starting point for a dialogue between Children's Services and community committees in agreeing priorities and areas of focus for individual committees.
- While covering a broad range of subjects this information is only a starting point for local improvement conversations. It doesn't provide a full rounded story or the depth of analysis perhaps wanted. The data does help shape conversations between community committee children's champions and children's services officers, which will inform community committee priorities and areas of focus. The intent is to support a dialogue on local children's priorities and not on report production.
- Colleagues from the children's performance service are available to support the use of the profiles and wider intelligence resources. They are happy to meet with Committee Chairs, Children's champions and Cluster representatives.
- These profiles will develop iteratively with feedback taken and refinements made to each cycle. They do though need to be produced systematically for the city.
- They are one source of intelligence, others are highlighted below, the Leeds Observatory being the broadest where extensive information is available by a range of geographies including community committee and ward.
- It is not suggested that these profiles are either appropriate or informative as a formal report. They may though in supporting discussion inform what reports individual committees request from Children's Services.

## Contents

The following two tables set out the data being provided at community committee and ward level. Where possible data is based on home address.

The Profile consists of:

- Part One – suitable for printing
  - 10 committee specific summaries of the data (current period and previous period);
  - One sub-set of the data at ward level (current period only).
- Part Two - a master spreadsheet with all the data for all community committees available for use by locality staff.

The tables below outline the content of the profiles.

Table one: indicator set to be reported at community committee level

Number of children and young people 0-19	Percentage of children and young people
Primary and secondary age free school meal eligibility	Number of children entering care
Number of children looked after	Number of NEET young people (adjusted)
% of children looked after cohort from community committee area	Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted)
Number of children subject to a child protection plan	Number of 'not knowns'
Percentage of CPP cohort in community committee area	Percentage of 'not knowns'
Number of contacts received by the Duty and Advice Team	Foundation Stage good level of development
Number of contacts leading to a referral	Key Stage 2 level 4+ reading, writing, and maths
Primary and Secondary school attendance levels	5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths
Number of pupils persistently absent at primary and secondary schools	10-17 year olds committing an offence

Table two: indicator set to be reported at ward level

Number of children looked after	Number of NEET young people (adjusted)
Percentage of children looked after cohort by ward	Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted)
Number of children subject to a CPP	Number of not knowns
Percentage of child protection cohort by ward	Percentage of not knowns
Primary school attendance levels	Foundation Stage good level of development
Key Stage 2 level 4+ reading, writing, and maths	5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths
Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school	Secondary school attendance levels
Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school	

## Data Development

The following are measure being considered for incorporating in future versions of the profile.

Free School Meal uptake at primary and secondary school	Include based on January School Census 2016
Index of Multiple Deprivation measure	To be included subject to a measure being identified that makes sense at community committee level.
Number of Early Help Assessments initiated	Will be included once new recording and reporting systems operational.
Measure related to Domestic Violence and children.	Suitable measure requires identification.
Take-up of free two-year-old places	To be included if a measure is identified that makes sense at community committee level.
Key Stage attainment and gaps for pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds	Replicate at community committee level, based on home address, the measure chosen for Children and Young People's Plan.
Obesity at age 11	Check data availability at community committee level.
Teenage pregnancy	Consider but data availability unlikely
Hospital admission rates for under-18s (alcohol related)	Check data availability at community committee level.

## Additional Information

Further information is available in the information available to the children's partnership at cluster level and through the Leeds data Observatory.

Information that will be provided is a summary of the range of Children's Services data available from other sources, such as the [Leeds Education Hub \(access required\)](#) and the [Observatory \(public\)](#). These self-service sites hold a vast range of data, maps, and analyses of information relating to children and young people across Leeds. The majority of the information on these sites is disaggregated to cluster level, and is shared monthly, quarterly, or annually, as appropriate for each measure with the wider children's and families partnership in Leeds.

Information is also available on national websites like the [School Performance Tables](#) which provides detail on local authority and individual school performance.

Context		Data period	Leeds	Inner North West	Highest	Lowest		
	Number of children and young people 0-19	July 2015	172,512	10,006	25,756	10,006		
	Percentage of children and young people	July 2015	n/a	5.8%	14.9%	5.8%		
	Free school eligibility - primary schools	2014/15 AY	19.9%	22.7%	33.4%	6.5%		
	Free school meal eligibility - secondary schools	2014/15 AY	18.5%	22.3%	31.9%	5.7%		
<p>The Inner North West contains 5.8% of the Leeds under 19 population, an estimated 10,006 children and young people. Free school meal entitlement is 2-3 percentage points above city levels at just over 22%.</p> <p>At the end of December 2015 the number of Children Looked After was 68 this is very similar to the 66 of the previous December. In the context of the Looked After Children population falling in the city over this period the Inner North West now accounts for 5.4% of the Leeds CLA population. This though remains a lower percentage than the area makes up of the overall under 19 population. Over the same period there has been a safe reduction in the number of children subject to a child protection plan from 56 to 37. In percentage terms the area still accounts for a slightly greater share of children on plans than it does for the overall child population. There has been a small increase in the number of child protection and safeguarding contacts being made to the council's Duty and Advice Team (child protection frontdoor). However the number of these contacts that then became a referral to be followed up by social care fell slightly suggesting no increase in need.</p> <p>In 2015 60.4% of 5 year olds living in the area reached what is known as a good level of development, this represents largely static year on year performance, and the INW is now marginally below the Leeds average of 61.7%. In terms of age related expectations at 11 (year 6 end of key stage 2) and 16 (year 11 end of key stage 4) the Inner North West saw good improvement from 2014 to 2015. Results are within a percentage point of the city averages with 77.4% of those in year 6 achieving level 4 or above in Reading Writing and Maths and 55.2% of those in year 11 gaining 5 or more GCSEs at A*-C including English and Maths.</p> <p>All schools in the area are rated as good or better by Ofsted, accepting that this will not include new sponsored academies awaiting their first inspection.</p> <p>Leeds attendance levels remained high for the 2014-15 academic year accepting they were, due to illness, marginally down on the previous year. INW levels compare positively with the city accepting 2014-15 was not as strong as 2013-14. For primary children in the INW attendance was at 96.3% down 0.2% points but remaining above the city average. Secondary attendance levels also remains above the city average but locally there was a 0.8% drop from the previous academic year for young people resident in the INW. 50 primary children and 96 secondary young people were absent for more than 15% of the school year, this was classed as being persistently absent (it is now 10% absence). While an increase on the previous schoos year the area makes up only 3.9% and 3.7% of city primary and secondary persistent absence numbers.</p> <p>The number of NEET young people 16-18 remains stable at around 7%, reassuringly the number of young people whose employment, education and training status is not known has reduced. There was a strong reduction in the number of 10-17 year olds committing one or more offences, while this was reflected at city level the improvement locally was greater a reduction from 40 to 23.</p>								
All children and young people are safe from harm		Data period	Leeds	Inner North West			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	Number of children looked after	31 Dec 2015	1,260	68	66	↑	322	14
	Percentage of children looked after cohort from community committee area	31 Dec 2015	n/a	5.4%	5.0%	↑	25.6%	1.1%
	Number of children entering care	Apr 15-Dec 15	274	16	17	↓	69	5
	Number of children subject to a child protection plan	31 Dec 2015	570	37	56	↓	119	12
	Percentage of CPP cohort in community committee area	31 Dec 2015	n/a	6.5%	8.7%	↓	20.9%	2.1%
	Number of contacts received by the Duty and Advice Team	Apr 15-Dec 15	15,663	735	688	↑	3,416	439
	Number of contacts leading to a referral	Apr 15-Dec 15	8,119	390	418	↓	1,909	187
All children and young people do well at all levels of learning and have the skills for life			Leeds	Inner North West			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	Primary school attendance levels	2014/15 H-T 1-6	96.1%	96.3%	96.5%	↓	97.1%	95.0%
	Secondary school attendance levels	2014/15 H-T 1-6	94.3%	94.5%	95.3%	↓	95.8%	92.5%
	Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school	2014/15 H-T 1-6	1,270	50	36	↑	345	35
	Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2,613	96	78	↑	610	61
	Number of NEET young people (adjusted)	31 Dec 2015	1,437	72	73	↓	364	38
	Percentage of NEET young people (adjusted)	31 Dec 2015	6.5%	7.0%	6.9%	↑	11.5%	2.6%
	Number of 'not knowns'	31 Dec 2015	857	45	51	↓	127	40
	Percentage of 'not knowns'	31 Dec 2015	3.8%	4.3%	4.8%	↓	4.3%	2.3%
	Foundation Stage good level of development	2014/15 AY	61.7%	60.4%	61.0%	↓	77.2%	49.2%
	Key Stage 2 level 4+ reading, writing, and maths	2014/15 AY	78.0%	77.4%	72.7%	↑	88.2%	70.1%
	5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths	2014/15 AY	55.5%	55.2%	51.8%	↑	71.7%	38.5%
All children and young people are active citizens who feel they have a voice and influence			Leeds	Inner North West			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	10-17 year olds committing an offence	2015 cal year		23	40	↓	342	6
OFSTED inspections			Leeds	Inner North West			Highest	Lowest
				Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel		
	Percentage of primary schools good or better	31 Dec 2015		100%	69%	↑	100.0%	80.0%
	Percentage of secondary schools good or better (inc through schools)	31 Dec 2015		100%	50%	↑	100.0%	0.0%
	Percentage of children's centres good or better	31 Dec 2015		75%	75%	↔	100.0%	57.0%
	Percentage of children's homes good or better	31 Dec 2015		100%	100%	↔	100.0%	0.0%

# Ward Level Data

All data are for children who live in the ward (they may attend a school in another ward) unless otherwise stated

Good performance is...		Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High	High
Time period		31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2014/15 H-T 1-6 (1-5 Y11)	2014/15 H-T 1-6	2014/15 H-T 1-6 (1-5 Y11)	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	31 Dec 2015	2015	2015	2015
Community Committee	Ward	Number of children looked after <sup>1</sup>	% of children looked after in ward	Number of children subject to a child protection plan	% of child protection cohort in ward	Primary school attendance levels	Secondary school attendance levels	Number of pupils persistently absent at primary school	Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary school	Number of NEET young people (adjusted)	% of NEET young people (adjusted)	Number of not knowns	%of not knowns	Foundation Stage good level of development	Key Stage 2 level 4+ reading, writing, and maths	5+ A*-C GCSE including English and maths
IE	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	122	9.7%	33	5.8%	95.1%	92.7%	129	170	124	13.8%	41	4.5%	47.4%	65.5	34.8%
IE	Gipton and Harehills	130	10.3%	36	6.3%	94.7%	93.1%	139	211	143	11.5%	48	3.8%	50.6%	71.0	41.5%
IE	Killingbeck and Seacroft	70	5.6%	50	8.8%	95.4%	91.5%	77	229	97	9.5%	38	3.7%	53.7%	78.7	38.2%
INE	Chapel Allerton	42	3.3%	20	3.5%	95.9%	94.1%	49	93	54	7.0%	27	3.5%	55.3%	72.0	49.2%
INE	Roundhay	9	0.7%	<5	n/a	96.4%	95.6%	27	51	31	3.5%	15	1.7%	70.8%	82.8	67.3%
INW	Headingley	13	1.0%	5	0.9%	95.8%	93.5%	<5	17	15	10.1%	6	4.1%	56.9%	73.5	52.8%
INW	Hyde Park and Woodhouse	33	2.6%	22	3.9%	96.0%	94.0%	28	35	29	9.7%	21	7.0%	52.9%	71.6	40.0%
INW	Weetwood	22	1.7%	10	1.8%	96.6%	95.0%	18	44	28	4.8%	18	3.1%	67.9%	80.9	62.4%
IS	Beeston and Holbeck	75	6.0%	19	3.3%	95.9%	94.5%	55	83	67	9.1%	36	4.8%	48.5%	65.6	45.8%
IS	City and Hunslet	91	7.2%	34	6.0%	95.6%	93.4%	51	92	79	12.6%	30	4.7%	45.6%	71.2	34.0%
IS	Middleton Park	110	8.7%	55	9.6%	95.9%	93.8%	61	125	83	8.3%	28	2.8%	52.3%	72.7	39.2%
IW	Armley	67	5.3%	14	2.5%	95.9%	93.2%	56	118	89	12.0%	21	2.8%	47.8%	72.6	35.5%
IW	Bramley and Stanningley	46	3.7%	25	4.4%	95.8%	92.5%	40	138	71	9.1%	18	2.3%	54.4%	69.1	46.4%
IW	Kirkstall	32	2.5%	24	4.2%	96.0%	93.0%	34	91	48	8.6%	25	4.4%	65.7%	76.1	40.1%
OE	Cross Gates and Whinmoor	40	3.2%	12	2.1%	95.8%	92.9%	38	109	36	5.0%	18	2.5%	67.6%	77.3	55.5%
OE	Garforth and Swillington	<5	n/a	10	1.8%	96.7%	96.1%	12	27	13	1.9%	14	2.1%	65.5%	82.1	74.8%
OE	Kippax and Methley	11	0.9%	0	0.0%	96.5%	94.6%	24	61	24	3.8%	18	2.8%	71.4%	75.8	63.7%
OE	Temple Newsam	32	2.5%	18	3.2%	96.0%	94.4%	38	86	45	6.2%	15	2.0%	61.1%	75.0	52.8%
ONE	Alwoodley	10	0.8%	<5	n/a	96.7%	95.9%	15	24	19	3.9%	14	2.8%	69.3%	85.8	75.0%
ONE	Harewood	<5	n/a	0	0.0%	97.0%	95.8%	13	15	8	2.1%	11	2.8%	80.5%	88.9	73.7%
ONE	Wetherby	<5	n/a	8	1.4%	97.4%	95.5%	7	22	11	3.3%	15	4.4%	76.5%	90.2	65.2%
ONW	Adel and Wharfedale	6	0.5%	<5	n/a	96.9%	96.0%	10	18	9	1.9%	26	5.5%	80.9%	86.1	72.3%
ONW	Guiselay and Rawdon	12	1.0%	12	2.1%	97.1%	95.3%	19	74	22	2.9%	21	2.7%	80.1%	84.1	67.7%
ONW	Horsforth	14	1.1%	5	0.9%	97.3%	95.7%	11	33	8	1.3%	15	2.4%	76.9%	83.7	75.4%
ONW	Otley and Yeadon	9	0.7%	9	1.6%	96.8%	94.5%	14	62	26	4.2%	18	2.9%	59.9%	85.7	67.0%
OS	Ardsley and Robin Hood	8	0.6%	13	2.3%	96.1%	96.4%	30	33	20	2.9%	17	2.5%	65.8%	81.2	69.4%
OS	Morley North	13	1.0%	18	3.2%	96.4%	96.1%	26	27	28	4.3%	25	3.8%	64.1%	80.2	63.6%
OS	Morley South	31	2.5%	18	3.2%	96.1%	95.9%	34	35	31	4.7%	21	3.2%	65.8%	88.0	68.7%
OS	Rothwell	7	0.6%	15	2.6%	96.2%	95.0%	26	76	24	3.5%	26	3.8%	68.7%	76.8	65.9%
OW	Calverley and Farsley	6	0.5%	11	1.9%	96.4%	94.9%	26	54	13	2.2%	12	2.1%	67.2%	81.7	65.6%
OW	Farnley and Wortley	43	3.4%	9	1.6%	96.0%	94.6%	52	87	83	10.1%	22	2.7%	51.4%	75.3	52.7%
OW	Moortown	10	0.8%	<5	n/a	96.8%	95.8%	20	34	23	3.8%	11	1.8%	71.9%	89.2	68.0%
OW	Pudsey	25	2.0%	7	1.2%	96.1%	94.5%	37	73	33	4.2%	30	3.8%	67.7%	81.9	56.0%